

continues to over-reach the evidence and make assumptions based on naturalism without the slightest thought that his scenario may be false or at least very wide of the mark.

The first threshold is the origin of life, some kind of self-replicating system. Threshold 2 is the Phenotype Threshold. Beyond replication, there must be some system for the 'genotype' to express a 'phenotype' or protein. Clam shells do not give rise to other shells. Shells are made by DNA, and DNA makes DNA. This is a crucial and difficult step for chemical evolution. But Dawkins gives no clues, because there are no clues as to how proteins came to be made from RNA, and RNA from DNA.

Threshold 3 is the Phenotype Team Threshold. Genes do not work in isolation. They work together. Everything affects everything else. Again, there are no real clues as to how this important jump in complexity was to be achieved. Threshold 4 is The Many-Cells Threshold. To continue to build complexity, not only must genes work together, but ultimately, cells must learn to work together. This achievement must be followed by a distribution of the tasks of living among different specialised cells or tissues. But how this occurs is not addressed.

Threshold 5 is the High-Speed Information-Processing Threshold or the Nervous System Threshold. There must be communication between the

different cells and tissues. Threshold 6 is the Consciousness Threshold. The Language Threshold is step number 7 and is probably linked to Threshold 6. Threshold 8 is crossed with an explosion of culture and technology, the Co-operative Technology Threshold. From this erupts the Radio Threshold, Threshold 9. The culmination is the Space Travel Threshold, Threshold 10. All along the way Dawkins tries to amaze us with both the necessity and complexity of each threshold but fails miserably to explain how each jump is to be accomplished. He depends totally on the explanatory power of natural selection to accomplish whatever transition is needed. It is just a matter of time.

### CONCLUSION

But of course, this begs the question. Dawkins perfects this art for 161 pages. Despite the smoke and mirrors, Richard Dawkins is still trying to sail upstream without a paddle. It just won't work. While many of his explanations and ruminations should make careful reading for creationists (he is not stupid and writes well), I have tried to point out a few of his inconsistencies, assumptions, and poor logic. What bothers me most is that this is meant to be a popular book. His wit and dogmatism will convince and influence many. For these reasons I found it a frustrating and sometimes

maddening book to read. Unfortunately, few will think their way through these pages and will be asking few if any questions of the author along the way. This is where the real danger lies. We must not only show others where he is wrong, but help them how to discover these errors on their own. We must help people to think, not just react.

### REFERENCES

1. Behe, M. J., 1990. Histone deletion mutants challenge the molecular clock hypothesis. **Trends in Biochemical Science**, 15:374-376.
2. Lewis, C. S., 1947. **Miracles: A Preliminary Study**, Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc., New York, p. 22.
3. Marshall, G., 1996. An eye for creation. **Creation Ex Nihilo**, 18(4):20-21.
4. ReMine, W. J., 1993. **The Biotic Message: Evolution Versus Message Theory**, Saint Paul Science, Saint Paul, Minnesota. Chapter 8 shows in mathematical detail that natural selection could result in only 1667 gene substitutions in 500,000 generations given the most optimistic assumptions.
5. Moreland, J. P. (ed.), 1994. **The Creation Hypothesis: Scientific Evidence for an Intelligent Designer**, InterVarsity Press, Downers Grove, Illinois.

Dr Raymond G. Bohlin is Director of Research for Probe Ministries in Richardson, Texas (USA) and is co-author (with Dr Lane P. Lester) of the book **The Natural Limits to Biological Change**. He is a graduate of the University of Illinois and North Texas State University, and his Ph.D. is from the University of Texas.

### QUOTABLE QUOTE: Evolution

*'I confess that there seems to me to be a repulsive poverty in this material explanation, that is contradicted by the intellectual grandeur of the universe; the resources of the Deity cannot be so meagre, that, in order to create a human being endowed with reason, he must change a monkey into a man . . .'*

Agassiz, Louis, 1863. **Methods of Study in Natural History**, Ticknor and Fields, Boston, Preface, p. iv.